Notizen 953

## The Influence of Excited States on the Reactive Thermal Conductivity of an L.T.E. Hydrogen Plasma

## M. Capitelli

Centro di Studio per la Chimica dei Plasmi — Dipartimento di Chimica dell'Università degli Studi di Bari, Bari (Italy)

(Z. Naturforsch. **29 a**, 953 – 954 [1974]; received February 12, 1974)

The influence of excited states on the reactive thermal conductivity of an L.T.E. hydrogen plasma has been studied by making realistic assumptions on the cross sections of these species.

The influence of excited states on the reactive thermal conductivity  $\lambda_R$  of an L.T.E. hydrogen plasma has been recently discussed <sup>1</sup> under several assumptions on the cross sections of these species.

The results showed a strong dependence of  $\lambda_R$  on the magnitude of the cross sections relative to the interaction H(1) - H(n), where H(n) denotes a hydrogen atom in the nth principal quantum number. In this note we reconsider the problem in the light of the recent calculations of the cross sections  $H(1) - H(n)^2$ .

The reactive thermal conductivity has been calculated by means of the Butler-Brokaw equation 3. 4

$$\hat{\lambda}_{R} = -1/R T^{2} \begin{vmatrix} A_{11} \dots A_{1\mu} & \Delta H_{1} \\ A_{\mu 1} \dots A_{\mu \mu} & \Delta H_{\mu} \\ \Delta H_{1} & \Delta H_{\mu} & 0 \end{vmatrix} / \begin{vmatrix} A_{11} \dots A_{1\mu} \\ A_{\mu 1} & A_{\mu \mu} \end{vmatrix}$$
 (1)

where

$$A_{ij} = \sum_{K=1}^{r-1} \sum_{l=K+1}^{r} \frac{RT}{D_{kl} p} x_k x_l \left( \frac{n_{ik}}{x_k} - \frac{n_{1l}}{x_l} \right) \left( \frac{n_{ik}}{x_k} - \frac{n_{jl}}{x_l} \right).$$

The order of determinants in Eq. (1) depends on the number of the independent reactions present in the plasma.

To a first degree of approximation (model I) we consider that the only reaction contributing to  $\lambda_R$  is

$$H(1) = H^+ + e$$
.

This means that the excited hydrogen atoms are considered as inert species. In this case Eq. (1) reduces after dropping the terms due to the electrons to the following form

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{\mathrm{R}} &= (\Delta H^2/R \, T^2)/A_{11} \,, \\ A_{11} &= \frac{R \, T}{p} \left[ \frac{(x+x_2)^2}{x_1 \, x_2} \frac{1}{D_{12}} + \frac{x_4}{x_1} \, \frac{1}{D_{14}} + \frac{x_4}{x_2} \, \frac{1}{D_{34}} \right] \end{split}$$

Reprint requests to Dr. M. Capitelli, Dipartimento di Chimica dell'Università, Via Amendola 173, 70126 Bari (Italy).

where  $\Delta H$  is the difference of enthalpy of the ionization reaction,  $x_i$  is the molar fraction of the ith species, and  $D_{ij}$  is the binary diffusion coefficient for species i and j  $[i=1=H(1); i=2=H^+; i=3=e; i=4=H(n)].$ 

To a second degree of approximation (model II) we consider the following ionization reactions:

$$H(1) = H^+ + e; H(2) = H^+ + e; H(3) = H^+ + e;$$
  
 $H(4) = H^+ + e; H(5) = H^+ + e;$   
 $H(6-12) = H^+ + e.$ 

[H(6-12)] includes excited states, the principal quantum numbers of which range from 6 to 12.]

In this case Eq. (1) cannot be reduced to the simple form of Equation (2). Values of  $\lambda_R$  corresponding to the models I and II can be evaluated once the diffusion coefficients of the interactions H(1) - H(n) and  $H(n) - H^+$  are known.

Table 1. Binary diffusion coefficients (cm<sup>2</sup>/sec) of excited states.

	$H(n) - H^+$								
T	n=1	n=2	n=3	n=4	n=5	n = 6 - 12			
14000	165.	26.9	9.08	3.79	1.61	0.16			
20000	295.	48.3	16.3	6.82	2.89	0.28			
$\mathrm{H}\left(1\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(n\right)$									
		n=2	n=3	n > 3					
$\frac{14000}{20000}$			219. 396.	165. 295.					

These coefficients have been reported in Table 1 for various temperatures and principal quantums number n; they are based on recent calculations of collision integrals of the diffusion type 1, 2. The calculations have shown that these integrals for the interactions  $H(n) - H^+$  increase as  $n^3$ ; on the contrary, those for the interactions H(1) - H(n) seem to converge at high values of n to the  $H(1) - H^+$ value. These considerations are useful for estimating the diffusion coefficients of highly excited hydrogen atoms. As for the molar fractions which enter in the calculation of  $\lambda_R$ , they have been calculated by considering an L.T.E. plasma; the Griem's criterion has been used for the cut-off of the electronic partition function 5. It can be noted that according to this method the principal quantum numbers of excited states inserted in the partition function approximately range from 2 to 12 (at one atmosphere). Finally, the differences of enthalpy of the ionization reactions have been calculated by adding to the ionization potential of each particular state the translational energy of the electrons.

954 Notizen

Values of  $\lambda_R$  corresponding to models I and II have been reported in Table 2(b) and compared with the values obtained by imposing to all excited states the diffusion coefficients relative to the ground state interactions (a) i. e.

$$D_{\mathrm{H}(n)-\mathrm{H}^{+}} = D_{\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{H}^{+}}; \quad D_{\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{H}(n)} = D_{\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)}. \quad (3)$$

The last procedure is commonly adopted in the literature. The results show a strong dependence of  $\lambda_R$ 

Table 2. Values of  $\lambda_R$  (10<sup>3</sup> cal/ $^{\circ}$ K cm) at different temperatures.

T K	Mo	del I	Model II	
	a	b	a	b
10,000	7.97	7.19	8.0	8.0
12,000	24.4	11.0	24.6	24.6
14,000	47.6	8.55	48.0	48.0
15,000	51.8	7.52	52.0	51.9
16,000	46.3	6.93	46.4	46.2
18,000	23.3	6.12	23.3	23.1
20,000	9.14	4.58	9.25	9.07
22,000	3.61	2.68	3.74	3.58
24,000	1.73	1.49	1.87	1.72
25,000	1.19	1.08	1.33	1.19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> M. Capitelli, XI Int. Conf. Phen. Ionized Gases, Prague 1973.

on the adopted model. In the model I in fact the values of  $\lambda_R$  calculated according to the ground state cross sections (column a of Table 2) are enormously greater than the corresponding  $\lambda_R$  values calculated by means of the more realistic hypothesis made on the cross sections of excited states (column b).

This behaviour disappears in the model II, where the maximum deviation in the two sets of  $\lambda_R$  values is of the order of 12%.

It might be instructive as a conclusion to see how the present results may alter the data of  $\lambda_R$  up to date calculated. In this connection we note that the equation commonly used for  $\lambda_R$  is practically given by Eq. (2) under the hypothesis of Equations (3). These data (column I a of Table 2) must be compared with the corresponding values obtained by using the rigorous Eq. (1) and the realistic cross sections of Table 1 (column II b of Table 2). The comparison shows a very good agreement, despite the completely different methods adopted for obtaining the two sets of  $\lambda_R$  values.

This can be probably attributed to compensation effects operating in the two models, rather than to the insensitivity of  $\hat{\lambda}_R$  to the cross sections of excited states.

M. Capitelli and U. Lamanna, J. Plasma Phys., in press.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> N. Butler and R. S. Brokaw, J. Chem. Phys. **26**, 1636 [1957]; **32**, 1005 [1960].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> W. E. Meador and L. D. Staton, Phys. Fluids 8, 1694 [1965].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> M. Capitelli and E. Molinari, J. Plasma Phys 4, 335 [1970]; Z. Naturforsch. 26 a, 672 [1971].